

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **27 June 2008**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-28. Examiner rejected claims 1-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on Pleso (U.S. Patent No. 6,009,480, hereinafter “Pleso”).

In the telephone interview conducted on **12 September 2008**, Applicant and Examiner discussed possible amendment options. Applicant proposed during the interview that the new amendment may include language to further clarify that the universal contextual interface is unique because it: (1) allows components using different communication protocols and data types to transfer context information between each other without requiring the components to use domain-specific interfaces, protocols or data format; and (2) includes mobile code.

Examiner pointed out that the Applicant might consider including languages to further distinguish the universal contextual interface from interface 82 in Pleso. Furthermore, Examiner suggested that the Applicant further clarify that scope of contextual data, so as to distinguish from device drive associated data in Pleso. Examiner also suggested that the Applicant be more specific about mobile code.

Applicant agreed with the Examiner’s suggestion changes and subsequently incorporated these changes into the amended claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Independent claims 1, 11, and 20 were rejected as being anticipated by Pleso.

Applicant respectfully points out that the instant application teaches using a universal contextual interface to provide context information, wherein the universal contextual interface **allows components using different operating systems, communication protocols, file formats, and data types to transfer context information between each other without requiring the devices to use**

domain-specific interfaces, protocols or data format (see paragraphs [0008] and [0015] of the instant application).

This is beneficial because it allows arbitrary components (such as computer applications or programs, data, memory, file directories, individual files, printer devices, cellular telephones, facsimile machines, copier machines, scanner devices, desk-top computers, lap-top computers, personal digital assistant (PDA) systems) to successfully communicate with each other without having a priori knowledge of particular domain-specific protocols (such as the file system domain (e.g., NFS and CIFS) or the printer domain (e.g., IPP and LPR)), operation systems, or data format, of each other (see paragraph [0003] of the instant application).

Additionally, the instant application teaches that the universal contextual interface comprises instructions that are particular to the host device, wherein the instructions can be understood and performed by a plurality of other devices to **enable the plurality of other devices to communicate and transfer contextual data with the host device**; provide event notifications to the plurality of devices with respect to changes in contextual data for the host device; **enable the plurality of other devices to receive user interfaces to allow users of the plurality of other devices to view changed contextual data or enable the plurality of devices to receive data from the first device**. More specifically, the contextual data **includes information with respect to the host device including type, owner, history of use, whether the first device is currently in use, other operating status information, identity, location on network** (see paragraphs [0027]-[0028] of the instant application).

Furthermore, the instant application teaches that **the universal contextual interface is implemented in Java** (see paragraph [0033] of the instant application). Note that Java is executable data that can be transmitted to a requesting device where it may be executed.

In contrast, Pleso discloses a serial interface (I/F) 82 for downloading a device driver, (including a most up-to-date peripheral device driver) for a

peripheral device directly from the peripheral device to a computer system (see Pleso, col. 7, lines 7-9 and col. 13, lines 25-35). However, Pleso **fails to suggest, either explicit or implicit, that (1)** the serial interface 82 allows devices coupled through the serial interface 82 to use different operating systems, communication protocols, file formats, and data types to transfer context information between each other without requiring the devices to use domain-specific interfaces, protocols or data format; **(2)** the serial interface 82 is implemented in Java; and **(3)** the serial interface 82 includes instructions that can be understood and performed by a computer system to enable the computer system to communicate and transfer contextual data with the peripheral device; enable the computer system to receive user interfaces to allow users of the computer system to view changed contextual data or enable the computer system to receive contextual data from the peripheral device, wherein the contextual data includes information with respect to the peripheral device including type, owner, history of use, whether the peripheral device is currently in use, other operating status information, identity, location on network.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 11, and 20 to clarify that the present invention provides a universal contextual interface which allows components using different operating systems, communication protocols, file formats, and data types to transfer context information between each other without requiring the devices to use domain-specific interfaces, protocols or data format. Furthermore, Applicant has amended these independent claims to clarify that this universal contextual interface is implemented in Java. Additionally, Applicant has amended these independent claims to clarify that this universal contextual interface includes instructions that can be understood and performed by a second device to enable the second device to communicate and transfer contextual data with the host device; enable the second device to receive user interfaces to allow users of the second device to view changed contextual data or enable the second device to receive contextual data from the host device, wherein the contextual data includes information with respect to the host device including

type, owner, history of use, whether the peripheral device is currently in use, other operating status information, identity, location on network. These amendments find support in paragraphs [0003], [0008], [0015], [0027]-[0028], and [0033] of the instant application. No new matter has been added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, and 20 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-10, which depend upon claim 1, claims 12-19, which depend upon claim 11, and claims 21-28, which depend upon claim 20, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Shun Yao/
Shun Yao
Registration No. 59,242

Date: 26 September 2008

Shun Yao
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1667
Fax: (530) 759-1665
Email: shun@parklegal.com